

Finite-dimensional representations of invariant differential operators, II[☆]

Gerald W. Schwarz

Department of Mathematics, Brandeis University, PO Box 549110, Waltham, MA 02454-9110, USA

Received 20 February 2003

Communicated by Peter Littelmann

Abstract

In Part I of this paper [G.W. Schwarz, Finite-dimensional representations of invariant differential operators, J. Algebra 258 (2002) 160–204] we considered the representation theory of the algebra $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})^G$, where $G = \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})^G$ denotes the algebra of G -invariant polynomial differential operators on the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G . We also considered the representation theory of the subalgebra \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{B} , where \mathcal{A} is generated by the invariant functions $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g})^G \subset \mathcal{B}$ and the invariant constant coefficient differential operators $S(\mathfrak{g})^G \subset \mathcal{B}$. Among other things, we found that the finite-dimensional representations of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are completely reducible, and we could reduce the study of the finite-dimensional irreducible representations of \mathcal{B} to those of \mathcal{A} . Irreducible finite-dimensional representations of \mathcal{A} are quotients of “Verma modules.” We found sufficient conditions for the irreducible quotients of Verma modules to be finite-dimensional, and we conjectured that these sufficient conditions are also necessary. In this paper we establish the conjecture, giving a complete classification of the finite-dimensional representations of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} .

© 2003 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Set $G := \mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{sl}_3$, the Lie algebra of traceless 3×3 matrices. We consider the symmetric algebra $S(\mathfrak{g})$ as the constant coefficient differential operators on \mathfrak{g} . Let e denote the quadratic invariant in $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g})^G$ where $e(A) = 1/2 \operatorname{tr}(A^2)$, $A \in \mathfrak{g}$. Let f denote minus the corresponding invariant in $S(\mathfrak{g})^G$ and let E denote the Euler vector field on \mathfrak{g} . Then e , f and $h := [e, f] = E + 4$ are a standard basis of a copy of \mathfrak{sl}_2 in

[☆] Research partially supported by NSF grant 0070472.

E-mail address: schwarz@brandeis.edu.

$\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})^G$, the algebra of G -invariant differential operators on \mathfrak{g} . We have the filtration $\mathcal{D}^n(\mathfrak{g})^G \subset \mathcal{D}^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g})^G \subset \dots$ by order of differentiation, and the associated graded $\text{gr } \mathcal{D}(\mathfrak{g})^G$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*)^G \simeq \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g})^G$.

We have generators of \mathcal{B} as follows. The polynomial algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g})^G$ is generated by e and a degree three function v_{30} , where $v_{30}(A) = \sqrt{3}/3 \text{tr}(A^3)$, $A \in \mathfrak{g}$. Applying bracket with f repeatedly, we obtain invariant differential operators $v_{21} := [f, v_{30}]$, $v_{12} := [f, v_{21}]/2$ and $v_{03} := [f, v_{12}]/3$. The span of the v_{ij} is, as \mathfrak{sl}_2 -representation, isomorphic to the binary forms of degree 3.

Let \mathcal{A} denote the subalgebra of \mathcal{B} generated by $\mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g})^G$ and $S(\mathfrak{g})^G$. Clearly, e, f, h and the v_{ij} are in \mathcal{A} . The center of \mathcal{B} is isomorphic to the center of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ [1,2], hence it is generated by two elements K and L . With appropriate normalizations of K and L [4, §7] we have

Theorem 1.1.

- (1) $K = 1/2[v_{21}, v_{12}] - 3/2[v_{30}, v_{03}] + 4(ef + fe + h^2/2) \in \mathcal{A}$.
- (2) $L^2 \in \mathcal{A}$.
- (3) \mathcal{B} is a free \mathcal{A} -module on 1 and L .
- (4) The associated graded of \mathcal{A} is the polynomial algebra on $\text{gr } e, \text{gr } f, \text{gr } h, \text{gr } K$ and the $\text{gr } v_{ij}$, $i + j = 3$.

The finite-dimensional representations of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are completely reducible [4, 12.2, 12.4]. Since \mathcal{B} is generated over \mathcal{A} by 1 and L , where $L^2 \in \mathcal{A}$, the finite-dimensional irreducible representations of \mathcal{B} are those of \mathcal{A} with a choice of sign for L . Thus we may concentrate on determining the irreducible finite-dimensional representations of \mathcal{A} .

There is a PBW theorem for \mathcal{A} , i.e., the monomials in e, f, h , the v_{ij} and K , for any fixed ordering, form a basis of \mathcal{A} . We can introduce analogues of Verma modules. Let $\mathcal{A}_{<0}$ be the subalgebra of \mathcal{A} generated by f, v_{12} and v_{03} and let $\mathcal{A}_{>0}$ be the subalgebra generated by e, v_{21} and v_{30} . Then a Verma module is a universal cyclic \mathcal{A} -module whose generator (highest weight vector) is annihilated by $\mathcal{A}_{>0}$ and is a simultaneous eigenvector for h and K . It is easy to see that any finite-dimensional irreducible \mathcal{A} -module has a highest weight vector, hence it is the quotient of a Verma module. Set $\bar{\kappa} = K + 7$ and $\bar{\mu} = h + 3$. (The shift makes the arithmetic simpler.) Given $\mu, \kappa \in \mathbb{C}$, let $W(\mu, \kappa)$ denote the Verma module with highest weight vector $w(\mu, \kappa)$ where $\bar{\mu} \cdot w(\mu, \kappa) = \mu w(\mu, \kappa)$ and $\bar{\kappa} \cdot w(\mu, \kappa) = \kappa w(\mu, \kappa)$. As usual, $W(\mu, \kappa)$ contains a unique maximal proper submodule $Y(\mu, \kappa)$ and corresponding simple quotient $Z(\mu, \kappa) = W(\mu, \kappa)/Y(\mu, \kappa)$ [4, 8.4].

For $(\mu, i) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, define $\kappa_1(\mu, i)$ to be $\mu^2 - \mu i + i^2$ (see Lemma 2.2). Set $V(\mu, i) := Z(\mu, \kappa_1(\mu, i))$. We say that $(\mu, i) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ is *standard* if $2 \leq 2i < \mu$, and *admissible* if, in addition, $\mu + i$ is not evenly divisible by 3. We showed that if $Z(\mu, \kappa)$ is finite-dimensional, then $Z(\mu, \kappa) = V(\mu, i)$ for (μ, i) standard [4, 12.1]. We showed that $V(\mu, i)$ has dimension $(1/6)\mu i(\mu - i) < \infty$ if (μ, i) is admissible [4, 12.1]. Our main theorem shows that admissibility is necessary for finite-dimensionality.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (μ, i) be standard such that 3 evenly divides $\mu + i$. Then $\dim V(\mu, i) = \infty$.*

Corollary 1.3.

- (1) *The irreducible finite-dimensional representations of \mathcal{A} are the $V(\mu, i)$ with (μ, i) admissible.*
 (2) *The irreducible finite-dimensional representations of \mathcal{B} are the $V(\mu, i)$, (μ, i) admissible, with a choice of sign for $L = \pm(\mu + i)(\mu - 2i)(i - 2\mu)$ [4, 11.2].*

We established the theorem in case that μ is not evenly divisible by 3 [4, 12.1]. In this paper we give a proof that works for all μ .

Let $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Set $W(\mu, \kappa)_j = \{w \in W(\mu, \kappa) \mid \bar{\mu} \cdot w = jw\}$ and set $W(\mu, \kappa)_{[j]} = \{w \in W(\mu, \kappa) \mid h \cdot w = jw\}$. Then $W(\mu, \kappa)_j = W(\mu, \kappa)_{[j-3]}$. Define $Y(\mu, \kappa)_j$, $Y(\mu, \kappa)_{[j]}$, $Z(\mu, \kappa)_j$ and $Z(\mu, \kappa)_{[j]}$ similarly. Then $W(\mu, \kappa) = \bigoplus_j W(\mu, \kappa)_j = \bigoplus_j W(\mu, \kappa)_{[j]}$, and similarly for $Y(\mu, \kappa)$ and $Z(\mu, \kappa)$. If $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\kappa = \kappa_1(\mu, i)$, then we have a copy of $W(\mu - i, \kappa)$ in $W(\mu, \kappa)$ (see Theorem 2.3). Our main technical result is the following.

Proposition 1.4. *Let (μ, i) be standard but not admissible, and set $\kappa = \kappa_1(\mu, i)$. Then $Y(\mu, \kappa)_j = W(\mu - i, \kappa)_j$ for $j > -i$.*

The proposition allows us to compute the dimensions of the h -weight spaces $Z(\mu, \kappa)_{[j]}$ for $j > -i - 3$. We then see that either $\dim Z(\mu, \kappa)_{[-1]} > \dim Z(\mu, \kappa)_{[1]}$ or that $\dim Z(\mu, \kappa)_{[-2]} > \dim Z(\mu, \kappa)_{[2]}$. But there would be equality if $Z(\mu, \kappa)$ were a finite-dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2 \subset \mathcal{A}$. Thus $\dim Z(\mu, \kappa) = \infty$ and we have Theorem 1.2.

In Section 2 we recall needed results from [4] and in Section 3 we give the proofs of Proposition 1.4 and Theorem 1.2.

2. Recollections

We recall some facts about Verma submodules of Verma modules $W(\mu, \kappa)$, $(\mu, \kappa) \in \mathbb{C}^2$. Verma submodules have multiplicity one [4, 8.9]. Their highest weight vectors are of the form $Rw(\mu, \kappa)$ where R is an element of $\mathcal{A}_{<0}$ and $w(\mu, \kappa)$ is a highest weight vector of $W(\mu, \kappa)$. There are three kinds of such differential operators R as we will see in Theorem 2.3 below. First we have

Proposition 2.1 [4, 8.7]. *When applied to $w(\mu, \kappa)$, L^2 acts as the scalar*

$$\lambda^2(\mu, \kappa) := (4\kappa - 3\mu^2)(\kappa - 3\mu^2)^2.$$

If we have a Verma submodule of $W(\mu, \kappa)$ it must be isomorphic to $W(\mu - i, \kappa)$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we must have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lambda^2(\mu, \kappa) - \lambda^2(\mu - i, \kappa) \\ &= 27i(-2\mu + i)(-\kappa + 3\mu^2 - 3\mu i + i^2)(-\kappa + \mu^2 - \mu i + i^2). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that there is a highest weight vector $w(\mu - i, \kappa)$ in a subquotient of $W(\mu, \kappa)$, $i > 0$. Then there are at most five possible values of i . Moreover,

- (1) $i = 2\mu$,
- (2) $\kappa = \kappa_1(\mu, i) := \mu^2 - \mu i + i^2$, or
- (3) $\kappa = \kappa_2(\mu, i) := 3\mu^2 - 3\mu i + i^2$.

A closer analysis shows that the conditions of the lemma are not sufficient for the existence of highest weight vectors in $W(\mu, \kappa)$, but they are if we insist that $i \in 2\mathbb{N}$ in (1) and that $i \in 3\mathbb{N}$ in (3). Let \mathbb{N}^+ denote $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$.

Theorem 2.3 [4, 9.10, 14.3, 14.5, 14.8]. Let $(\mu, \kappa) \in \mathbb{C}^2$. Then $W(\mu, \kappa)$ is simple, unless one of the following three conditions holds.

- (1) $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $\kappa = \kappa_1(\mu, i)$. Then there is an element $0 \neq P_i \in \mathcal{A}_{<0}$, independent of μ , such that $P_i w(\mu, \kappa)$ is a highest weight vector $w(\mu - i, \kappa)$. The coefficient of v_{12}^i in P_i is 1.
- (2) $\mu = k \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Then there is an element $0 \neq H_{2k} \in \mathcal{A}_{<0}$, with polynomial coefficients in κ , such that $H_{2k}(\kappa)w(\mu, \kappa)$ is a highest weight vector $w(-\mu, \kappa)$. The coefficient of v_{12}^{2k} in H_{2k} is 1.
- (3) $j \in 3\mathbb{N}^+$ and $\kappa = \kappa_2(\mu, j)$. Then there is an element $0 \neq Q_j \in \mathcal{A}_{<0}$, with polynomial coefficients in μ , such that $Q_j(\mu)w(\mu, \kappa)$ is a highest weight vector $w(\mu - j, \kappa)$. The coefficient of v_{12}^j in Q_j is 1.

The P_i , $H_{2k}(\kappa)$ and $Q_j(\mu)$ are unique with the given properties.

We computed closed expressions for the P_i and Q_j [4, 14.3, 14.5], and we calculated when one of the P_i , $Q_j(\mu)$ and $H_{2k}(\kappa)$ is a product of the others [4, 14.1]. Let $\mathcal{A}_+(\bar{\kappa} - \kappa_1(\bar{\mu}, i))$ denote the left ideal in \mathcal{A} generated by e , v_{30} , v_{21} and $\bar{\kappa} - \kappa_1(\bar{\mu}, i)$. Then we have

Lemma 2.4.

- (1) Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then eP_i , $v_{21}P_i$ and $v_{30}P_i$ lie in $\mathcal{A}_+(\bar{\kappa} - \kappa_1(\bar{\mu}, i))$ [4, 14.4].
- (2) Suppose that (μ, i) is standard and that 3 evenly divides $\mu + i$. Then $P_{\mu-i} = Q_{\mu-2i}(\mu - i)P_i$ [4, 14.1].

Remark 2.5. Suppose that (μ, i) is standard. If $\kappa = \kappa_1(\mu, i)$, then one can compute that the highest weight vectors in any subquotient of $W(\mu, \kappa)$ can only have the $\bar{\mu}$ -weights μ , $\mu - i$, i , $-i$, $-\mu + i$ and $-\mu$. Moreover, all these possibilities arise in Verma submodules of $W(\mu, \kappa)$ [4, 10.7].

The final ingredient we need is a bilinear form on $\mathcal{A}_{<0}$ and the formula for its discriminant. For this we need an involution on \mathcal{A} and a projection of \mathcal{A} to $\mathcal{A}_{(0)}$, the subalgebra of \mathcal{A} generated by h and K . For the involution we have

Lemma 2.6 [4, 5.1]. *The action of \mathfrak{sl}_2 on \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} integrates to an action of SL_2 . The element $\omega := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2$ generates the Weyl group and acts as follows: $\omega(x_j) = \partial_j$ and $\omega(\partial_j) = -x_j$, $1 \leq j \leq 8$, where x_1, \dots, x_8 are coordinate functions on \mathfrak{g} and $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_8$ are the corresponding partial derivatives.*

Corollary 2.7 [4, 7.4]. *Let $\sigma : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be the involutive antiautomorphism $P \mapsto \omega(P)^t$, where the superscript t denotes formal transpose and ω is the element of the Weyl group of SL_2 of Lemma 2.6. Then*

- (1) $\sigma(e) = -f$ and $\sigma(f) = -e$.
- (2) $\sigma(v_{ij}) = v_{ji}$, $i + j = 3$.
- (3) σ fixes h and K .
- (4) $\sigma(L) = -L$.

Let $-\mathcal{A}$ denote the right ideal in \mathcal{A} generated by f , v_{12} and v_{03} and let \mathcal{A}_+ denote the left ideal generated by e , v_{21} and v_{30} . Then it follows from the PBW theorem for \mathcal{A} that $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{A}_{(0)} \oplus (-\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}_+)$, so we have a projection $\eta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{(0)}$, and η is σ -equivariant. Using η and σ we define a bilinear form γ on \mathcal{A} by

$$\gamma(\alpha, \beta) := \eta(\sigma(\alpha)\beta), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Then γ is symmetric. Define $\mathcal{A}_{<0}^{(i)}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, to be the weight space of weight $-i$ for the action of h on $\mathcal{A}_{<0}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{<0}^{(i)}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{<0}^{(j)}$ are perpendicular for γ when $i \neq j$. For fixed $i \in \mathbb{N}$ let γ_i denote the restriction of γ to $\mathcal{A}_{<0}^{(i)}$ and let δ_i denote its discriminant.

Set

$$M(i) := \dim \mathcal{A}_{<0}^{(i)} \quad \text{and} \quad S(t) := \sum_{i \geq 0} M(i)t^i. \quad (2.7.1)$$

Note that f and v_{30} commute and that $[f, v_{12}] = 3v_{03}$ and $[v_{12}, v_{03}] = 2f^2$ [4, 7.3]. It follows that $\mathcal{A}_{<0}^{(i)}$ has basis $\{f^p v_{03}^q v_{12}^r \mid 2p + 3q + r = i\}$, hence

$$S(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)(1-t^2)(1-t^3)}. \quad (2.7.2)$$

Theorem 2.8 [4, 9.3]. *Up to a nonzero constant,*

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_i &= \prod_{j=1}^i (\bar{\kappa} - \kappa_1(\bar{\mu}, j))^{M(i-j)} \prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor i/2 \rfloor} (\bar{\mu} - j)^{M(i-2j)} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor i/3 \rfloor} (\bar{\kappa} - \kappa_2(\bar{\mu}, 3j))^{M(i-3j)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8.1)$$

3. Proofs of the Main Results

Proof of Proposition 1.4. Let μ, i and κ be as in Proposition 1.4. We have a copy of $W(\mu - i, \kappa) \subset Y(\mu, \kappa)$ generated by $P_i w(\mu, \kappa)$. We want to show that $Y(\mu, \kappa)_j$ and $W(\mu - i, \kappa)_j$ are equal for $j > -i$. Consider the module $Y(\mu, \kappa)/W(\mu - i, \kappa)$. Then it follows from Remark 2.5 that $Y(\mu, \kappa)/W(\mu - i, \kappa)$ may have a highest weight vector of $\bar{\mu}$ -weight i , but that if it does not, the next possible highest weight vector would have $\bar{\mu}$ -weight $-i$. Thus the proposition will follow if we can show that $Y(\mu, \kappa)_i$ and $W(\mu - i, \kappa)_i$ are the same. We establish this as follows:

Choose a basis of $\mathcal{A}_{<0}^{(\mu-i)}$ where the first element is

$$P_{\mu-i} = Q_{\mu-2i}(\mu - i)P_i.$$

Set $r = M(\mu - 2i)$. Choose the next $r - 1$ basis elements to be of the form RP_i where $R \in \mathcal{A}_{<0}^{(\mu-2i)}$. Thus we now have a basis of $\mathcal{A}_{<0}^{(\mu-2i)} \cdot P_i$. Finally, choose $s = M(\mu - i) - M(\mu - 2i)$ elements of $\mathcal{A}_{<0}^{(\mu-2i)}$ to complete a basis of $\mathcal{A}_{<0}^{(\mu-i)}$.

The matrix of $\gamma_{\mu-i}$, relative to the basis chosen above, has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B & C \\ B^t & D & E \\ C^t & E^t & F \end{pmatrix},$$

where A is 1×1 , D is $(r - 1) \times (r - 1)$ symmetric and F is $s \times s$ symmetric (and B , etc., have the obvious dimensions). We know that any element of A , B or C is a term of the form $\gamma_{\mu-i}(x, P_{\mu-i})$, so it is divisible by $\bar{\kappa} - \kappa_1(\bar{\mu}, \mu - i)$ (see Lemma 2.4). But since $P_{\mu-i} = Q_{\mu-2i}(\mu - i)P_i$, the elements of A , B and C are also divisible by $\bar{\kappa} - \kappa_1(\bar{\mu}, i)$. Similarly, the entries of D and E are divisible by $\bar{\kappa} - \kappa_1(\bar{\mu}, i)$. It follows that any term in the determinant of the matrix of $\gamma_{\mu-i}$ relative to our basis which is not a term of $\det A \det D \det F$ is divisible by $(\bar{\kappa} - \kappa_1(\bar{\mu}, i))^a (\bar{\kappa} - \kappa_1(\bar{\mu}, \mu - i))^b$ where $a \geq r$ and $b \geq 1$ and $a + b > r + 1$.

We reduce to the case of one variable by fixing $\bar{\kappa} = \kappa$. Let t denote $\bar{\mu} - \mu$ and let $\tilde{\delta}_{\mu-i}$ denote $\delta_{\mu-i}$ with $\bar{\kappa}$ set to κ . Then the power of t dividing $\tilde{\delta}_{\mu-i}$ comes from the factors $\bar{\kappa} - \kappa_1(\bar{\mu}, i)$ and $\bar{\kappa} - \kappa_1(\bar{\mu}, \mu - i)$ of the expression (2.8.1). The other terms of $\tilde{\delta}_{\mu-i}$ give elements that do not vanish when $\bar{\kappa} = \kappa$ and $t = 0$ (see [4, 10.1]). We have that $\bar{\kappa} - \kappa_1(\bar{\mu}, i)$ reduces to $(-2\mu + i)t - t^2$ and $\bar{\kappa} - \kappa_1(\bar{\mu}, \mu - i)$ reduces to $(-\mu - i)t - t^2$. So, $\tilde{\delta}_{\mu-i}$ is of the form $ct^{r+1} + O(t^{r+2})$ where $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{C}$. Any term of $\tilde{\delta}_{\mu-i}$ which does not come from $\det A \det D \det F$ is $O(t^{r+2})$. Since $\det A \det D$ is of the form $ct^{r+1} + O(t^{r+2})$, it follows that $c \neq 0$ and that $\det F$ is a unit in $\mathbb{C}[t]_0$, the localization of $\mathbb{C}[t]$ at $0 \in \mathbb{C}$.

The techniques of a lemma of Rocha-Caridi and Wallach [3, Lemma 1] allow us to find $(r + s) \times (r + s)$ matrices R and S with values in $\mathbb{C}[t]_0$, whose determinants are nonzero constants, such that

$$R \begin{pmatrix} A & B & C \\ B^t & D & E \\ C^t & E^t & F \end{pmatrix} S = \text{diag}(a_{r+s}, \dots, a_s, \dots, a_1), \quad a_1, \dots, a_{r+s} \in \mathbb{C}[t]_0,$$

where the order of vanishing of a_1, \dots, a_s at 0 is zero (these come from diagonalizing F) while the order of vanishing of a_{s+1}, \dots, a_{r+s} at 0 increases with the index. Evaluating at $t = 0$, we see that the radical of $\gamma_{\mu-i}$ at $\bar{\kappa} = \kappa$ and $\bar{\mu} = \mu$ has dimension at most r . This radical has the same dimension as $Y(\mu, \kappa)_i$ [4, 9.1–9.2]. But we know that $W(\mu - i, \kappa)_i$ is an r -dimensional subspace of $Y(\mu, \kappa)_i$. Thus $Y(\mu, \kappa)_i = W(\mu - i, \kappa)_i$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let S_k denote the Verma module for $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ with highest weight $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now $W(\mu, \kappa)$, $\kappa = \kappa_1(\mu, i)$, has the same h -weights as $\mathcal{A}_{<0}$, only shifted up by $\mu - 3$. From the decomposition

$$\mathcal{A}_{<0}^{(i)} = \bigoplus_{2p+3q+r=i} f^p v_{03}^q v_{12}^r$$

it follows that, as a module for $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{h})$ (where $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C}h$), we have

$$W(\mu, \kappa) \simeq \bigoplus_{j>0} (jS_{\mu-3j} \oplus jS_{\mu-3j-1} \oplus jS_{\mu-3j-2}), \quad (3.0.2)$$

where $jS_{\mu-3j}$, etc. denotes the direct sum of j copies of $S_{\mu-3j}$, etc.

Now suppose that $\mu \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Then $i = 3k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and we have

$$W(\mu - i, \kappa) \simeq \bigoplus_{j>k} ((j - k)S_{\mu-3j} \oplus (j - k)S_{\mu-3j-1} \oplus (j - k)S_{\mu-3j-2}), \quad (3.0.3)$$

and the coefficient of S_{-1} in (3.0.2) is k more than the coefficient of S_{-1} in (3.0.3). It follows that $\dim Z(\mu, \kappa)_{[-1]} = k + \dim Z(\mu, \kappa)_{[1]}$, which implies that $\dim Z(\mu, \kappa) = \infty$. The same argument works if $\mu \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and if $\mu \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $i \geq 5$. If $\mu \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $i = 2$, one shows that the coefficients of S_0 and S_{-2} are each one more in (3.0.2) than in the expansion of $W(\mu - i, \kappa)$, which implies that $\dim Z(\mu, \kappa)_{[-2]} = 2 + \dim Z(\mu, \kappa)_{[2]}$, and again we have that $\dim Z(\mu, \kappa) = \infty$. \square

Acknowledgment

We thank Nolan Wallach for his insights and for pointing us in the direction of [3].

References

- [1] F. Knop, A Harish-Chandra homomorphism for reductive group actions, *Ann. of Math.* 140 (2) (1994) 253–288.
- [2] F. Knop, The asymptotic behavior of invariant collective motion, *Invent. Math.* 116 (1–3) (1994) 309–328.
- [3] A. Rocha-Caridi, N.R. Wallach, Characters of irreducible representations of the Lie algebra of vector fields on the circle, *Invent. Math.* 72 (1983) 57–75.
- [4] G.W. Schwarz, Finite-dimensional representations of invariant differential operators, *J. Algebra* 258 (2002) 160–204.